

CORE Committee Meeting

March 4th, 2021 1:00-3:00

Attendance: Torrie, Melissa, Loreen, Patrick, Dominique, Tami, Maggie, Brenda, Jael, Katie, Casey, Kim, Lorena, Angie, Tara, Victor, Sarah

- Sarah- ESG-CV fund updates
 - \$1.5 mill dollars from the State.
 - Board decided to fund existing projects instead of doing an RFP.
 - Sarah will share recommended funding slate.
 - Funded Admin- LEC and YAB
 - Most projects funded at minimum requests.
 - Now the recommendation goes through the City process and the Common Council.
 - Earliest the contracts will be March 17th 2021.
 - Will be no 3rd round
- Torrie- Review CoC process, supplemental questions, scoring spreadsheet
 - FY2020 Award= \$3,939,374
 - Torrie will share PowerPoint.
 - Eligible project types: Permanent housing, Transitional, Support Service Only, HMIS, Joint Transitional and RRH
 - Need to get an MOU between the board and the collaborative applicant (City)
 - Competition Spreadsheet
 - Cost per Exit question- Torrie will flag and look at what other communities are doing.
 - Difference between PSH and RRH
 - Projects that are taking higher scores in RRH
 - How are exits that are deaths counted?
 - Income question
 - May want to look at having two different scoring options for PSH and RRH.
 - Avg length of time enrolled to housing
 - Perhaps have all projects do for information only
 - Columns V-AD
 - Need to look at this more
 - Give agencies an opportunity talk about negative outcomes
 - These measures seem to be really confusing to the review committee and the board, so how helpful is the data? Is it actually used in decision making?
 - New projects aren't on the review sheet or CE or HMIS
 - How to we score those things? Need to develop something.
 - We need a procedure for if projects consolidate. How do we score them?
 - Torrie will look at old notes from HSC Board meeting, there were suggestions after the EHH funding cycle.

- Sarah thought there was a suggestion to incorporate participant feedback
 - Do we want to look at race across measures? If so, which one?
 - New programs
 - How do we score?
 - Could we do a set aside amount for new projects?
- How do we present data in a way that is easy to understand?
 - Alternate rows shaded would be helpful.
 - Could break it down by question and then show all agencies performance per question in a Word Doc instead of spreadsheet.
- Do we want to go back to quarterly reporting for CoC programs?
 - Probably should.
- New Projects Discussion
 - Should we look at data and the community plan?
 - Whose voice is included in the community plan?
 - Gaps Analysis
 - Feedback from LEC
 - Be transparent about CoC guidelines, provide mentorship, upfront and then have agency speak to how they are going to implement a CoC program.
 - Create a mentorship list that new agencies can pick from.
 - Interest in looking to see whether performance ranking has actually led to system performance improvement.
 - Have a better idea of what money will be reallocated and look at agencies that haven't used all funding and then be transparent about what money is on the table.
- Pick up on HMIS and CE next time.
- ESG- Missed this...