Core Committee Meeting Minutes (10/6/22)


1. Announcements/walk-on items:

NOFO was submitted. Unsheltered NOFO is in process (due 10/20).

2. Review 2022 Core Committee Action Items List

Data workgroup has been meeting regularly. The goal is to come up with a data review and sharing recommendation for the Core Committee next time we meet.

Torie will follow up with “create language that can be sent via email for inquires re: CE”.

Kristina will work on update Dane County resource brochure.

Sarah provided updates on the centralized outreach discussion. Madison Street Medicine submitted an application to Unsheltered NOFO based on the discussion from the group. The group will stop meeting and continue the conversations at the HSC Outreach Committee.

3. Lived Experience Committee Prioritization Response

Survey results of the Lived Experience Committee members were reviewed (below). 8 responses from the LEC members.

LEC reviewed the results of the other committee’s potential prioritization list (without any prioritization weight) and created a survey and administered among the LEC members to show the LEC’s prioritization weight.

Discussion:

- We may advocate for priority groups based on our experience and background.
- How many times things were mentioned in the committee meetings is also not a good measure to look at as some people attended several committee meetings and repeated their thoughts.
- What’s the next step?
  - Smaller work group who would be more intentionally focused.
  - Core committee can list of groups that should be participating in the small group effort.
The small group may need to do a wider survey regarding prioritization.
Researcher Torrie and Courtney met that we may be getting ahead of ourselves by doing a survey. His suggestion was to replicate the VI-SPDAT study (how different questions were answered by different groups). If we provide data, then he could help with analyzing the data.
Can we have a community come to speak that did re-prioritize? We could probably could to learn about their process of restructuring. This can be presented to the new group who will be working on creating a new CE proposal but extend the invitation to others, too. Torrie will reach out to TA to see if they could do a presentation on how various communities approached CE restructuring efforts and contacts.
We would want representation from various groups impacted by the CE process:
- Street outreach providers
- Rapid rehousing providers
- Permanent supportive housing providers
- Shelter providers
- Lived Experience Committee
- Youth Action Board
- Mental Health providers
- Substance use treatment providers
- Domestic Violence providers
- Households that had housing placement through the current CE process
- Institute for Community Alliance as a Coordinated Entry lead – they are working on this in other CoCs, too. They can provide VI-SPDAT and other data.
- Underserved communities—Latino community, LGBTQ, non-cisgender community, Hmong community

We send an email with the above list to HSC listserv to invite people over. Sarah and Chara are going to work on the email to send to HSC.
Can we create list of people who are currently getting prioritized?
People who are newly getting into PSH and RRH would be an important factor to look into.

4. Review of data about BIPOC community not engaging with CoC process

Johneisha shared her engagement with BIPOC community and their responses on why they are not engaging with the CoC process:
- (5 mentions) Don’t know about services, not eligible services, no transportation to get to agency
- (4 mentions) Don’t see people who like them in offices
- (3 mentions) Don’t feel comfortable telling strangers their personal business, distrust of what will happen with the information, agency hours, mental illness or other stressors
(2 mentions) Work schedule – needs non-business hours, immigration status, feel as though they are being judged or mistreated by workers

(1 mention) Bad history with agency staff, feels like they have too many legal issues or complicated things going on, feels like there is no hope/waiting lists will be too long, no SSN or other ID taken once entered into US, no money, single without children-no resources, confused by process of Housing Authority vs. CoC

What’s the next step?

Transportation – HSC should sit in conversations on Bus Rapid Transit.

Transportation- Explore providing other forms of access to homeless services by utilizing access points that are near them, computer access can be available at libraries, job center, other homeless services providers, where people can use zoom for those who do not have virtual meeting access; physical going to people or meeting them halfway; office hours at public locations (libraries) where potential clients may use,

It’s also possible that remote service options do exist but people are not informed.

5. Next meeting topics

Data workgroup recommendation

BRT info

Torrie will send out a list of ideas and get feedback.
LEC survey results:

### Homelessness Categories

If you had to pick one category from this list to prioritize which would be your top choice? **Please choose 1 or prefer not to answer.**

8 responses

- Unsheltered: 25%
- # of episodes of homelessness: 12.5%
- Length of time homeless: 12.5%
- Returned to homelessness from: 12.5%
- Generational experience of homelessness: 12.5%
- Populations without housing in: 12.5%
- Car campers: 12.5%
- Involved with street outreach: 12.5%

### Safety

If you had to pick one category from this list to prioritize which would be your top choice? **Please choose 1 or prefer not to answer.**

8 responses

- Domestic violence or personal violence: 50%
- Actively fleeing domestic violence: 12.5%
- Sex work-trafficked: 12.5%
- Intersection of DV, AODA, and mental health: 12.5%
- Prefer not to choose in this section: 25%
- Actively fleeing domestic violence: 12.5%
Family

If you had to pick one category from this list to prioritize which would be your top choice? Please choose 1 or prefer not to answer.

8 responses

Money

If you had to pick one category from this list to prioritize which would be your top choice? Please choose 1 or prefer not to answer.

8 responses
Medical

If you had to pick one category from this list to prioritize which would be your top choice? Please choose 1 or prefer not to answer.

8 responses

- Mental health diagnosis
- Severe mental illness
- Co-occurring mental health diagnosis and AODA without any support
- Prefer not to choose in this section

Substance Use

If you had to pick one category from this list to prioritize which would be your top choice? Please choose 1 or prefer not to answer.

8 responses

- Substance use disorders
- Likelihood of overdose resulting in death
- Severe substance use and denied access to treatment
- Prefer not to choose in this section
Other

If you had to pick one category from this list to prioritize which would be your top choice? **Please choose 1 or prefer not to answer.**

8 responses

- Medically vulnerable: 37.5%
- Pregnancy: 12.5%
- High risk pregnancy: 12.5%
- Traumatic brain injury: 25%
- Most likely to die on the streets: 12.5%
- Terminal illness: 12.5%
- Severe physical disability: 12.5%
- Chronic medical condition: 12.5%

Barriers

If you had to pick one category from this list to prioritize which would be your top choice? **Please choose 1 or prefer not to answer.**

8 responses

- Ability and access to service: 75%
- Language barriers: 12.5%
- Literacy: 12.5%
- Education: 12.5%
- Prefer not to choose in this section: 12.5%
Housing

If you had to pick one category from this list to prioritize which would be your top choice? Please choose 1 or prefer not to answer.
8 responses

- Criminal justice system involvement
- History of criminal justice system involvement
- Foreclosure/evictions
- Multiple evictions or money owed
- Sex offender registry
- History of utility cut off
- Criminal background
- Low credit

Age

If you had to pick one category from this list to prioritize which would be your top choice? Please choose 1 or prefer not to answer.
8 responses

- Infant under a certain age
- Children under 5
- Young adults aging out of their parent's house in subsidized housing
- Youth, minors & 16-24
- Prefer not to choose in this section
Other Adults

If you had to pick one category from this list to prioritize which would be your top choice? Please choose 1 or prefer not to answer.

8 responses

- 62.5%: Age 53+ (average age of death of people experiencing homelessness)
- 25%: Age 65+
- 12.5%: Older adults
- 12.5%: Prefer not to choose in this section

Specific populations

If you had to pick one category from this list to prioritize which would be your top choice? Please choose 1 or prefer not to answer.

8 responses

- 12.5%: LGBTQ+
- 12.5%: Couples without children
- 12.5%: Veterans
- 12.5%: Race
- 12.5%: Refugees
- 12.5%: Aging out of foster care
- 12.5%: Child welfare involvement
- 12.5%: Immigration status
If you had to pick one category from this list to prioritize which would be your top choice? **Please choose 1 or prefer not to answer.**

8 responses