

CORE Committee Minutes

Thursday, July 7, 2022 1:00 pm- 3:00 pm

Zoom

Attendance: Torrie Kopp Mueller, Sarah Lim, Avenash Pernankil, Jenna Wuthrich, Michelle Hemp, Koleena Schmidt, Kim Sutter, Meshan Adams, Melissa Mennig, Ave Thorpe, Jeremy Schmidt, Angela Jones, Courtney Spears, Kristina Dux, Johnneisha Prescott, Maureen Quinlan, Aurey Leslie, Takisha Jordan, Jamsine Smith, Chelsey Myhre Foster, Molly Finnigan, Silvan Fleming, Clarence Hood, Patrick Duffie, Chara Taylor

1. Announcements/Walk on items

Koreena shared updates on YHDP. Submitted application on esnaps. Changing employment structure with City becoming a lead agency per Youth Action Board request. In a really good place. Working on interesting and innovative solutions for youth homelessness. Youth Action Board will revisit the RFP process.

Torrie shared that HUD announced unsheltered homelessness NOFO. Torrie will present to the HSC board about that. It's similar to the regular CoC processes, but we need to submit a plan to address unsheltered homelessness.

Angie shared that United Way loaned executive grant process is available now, due today.

Sarah and Kristina provided updates on the hotel program. County vulnerable population program have new hotel sites and the program extended through September 30. Equus is a Hotel to Housing provider and will continue to work with the County hotel program participants. City program ended but Focus Counseling still work with the participants to connect them to permanent housing.

2. Any updates on [action items](#)

Torrie reviewed the updates. They are documented in the Googledoc link above. Johnneisha will share summary of the feedback she received from people with lived experience, black and Latino leaders on why people are not accessing CE. We will review the summary and identify action items.

3. Update of Lived Experience Committee (LEC) VI-SPDAT Review – Takisha

Takisha shared the feedback from the LEC with the Committee on single and family VI-SPDATs and Release of Information (ROI)-- things to remove, reword/change and clarify. Takisha will share the documents with comments with the Committee.

Silvan pointed out many questions on VI-SPDAT are overlapping with HMIS intake questions.

Johnneisha - Are there specific questions that end up favoring specific population? Maybe we could change or remove those questions to have more equitable outcomes.

Torrie shared her review of the top 50 people on today's housing priority list. 64% of singles were white in top 50 (48% white total); 26% black (43% black) 28% of families were white on top 50 (23%); 62% black (72%)

Angie asked where we are at seeking assistance from UW-Madison. The Committee talked about reviewing the LEC group feedback first, Core Committee working on prioritization criteria and seek assistance from UW researchers to create a new tool.

The committee discussed whether we should take time to come up with a good tool or start using a revised tool.

Chelsey suggested that getting a quote for statistical analysis and exploring student and researcher in UW would be a logical next step.

Patrick shared that ICA could potentially help identify what specific questions are related to inequitable outcomes in prioritization. But the most difficult part will be deciding on prioritization-who should be prioritized. Austin model uses testing and updating approach.

Jeremy suggested that receiving feedback from people experiencing homelessness will be important step in determining prioritization.

Brenda asked if there are other things we need to be thinking about - what other things we may be impacting when we make changes. We may need to consider funds needed to address those, too.

We will resume discussion on the best approach and next steps. Courtney has resources that we can check out.

4. Review of prioritization brainstorm – Torrie

Torrie compiled the [list](#) of 80+ prioritization factors gathered from various committees and HSC board.

The list does not specify how many mentions each item received because some people attended multiple meetings and mentioned them at each meeting. The purpose of creating the list was to create an exhaustive list as a starting point.

How can we narrow the list down?

We should go to the people who are experiencing it and find out. LEC review? LEC member LEC outreach to broader community? can we do a listening session similar to YHDP listening sessions? There are different

What does HUD require? People will still meet chronic homelessness for Permanent Supportive Housing. Category 1 homeless is required for all HUD funded programs. HUD requires that communities prioritize based on length of homelessness and service needs.

5. Identify action steps, responsible party and timeline – be sure to keep this realistic!

Who could take a lead on getting feedback from people with lived experience? LEC can provide their own feedback but also can discuss how to get feedback from a broader community of people with lived experience and share with the committee.

Brenda started categorizing the brainstorm list and can share what she has with Torrie, and Torrie and Kristina will work on it.

There were questions related to the scoring details of the VI-SPDAT and how questions are weighed. Torrie will provide a section of Written Standards that explains the VI-SPDAT scoring, so it can be compared to what may be developed.

6. Next Meeting: Thursday, August 4, 2022 1:00 pm- 3:00 pm

Topics:

- Torrie will talk to Courtney about a person who may be able to assist with prioritization analysis and development of new prioritization tools.
 - The Lived Experience committee will provide feedback on the prioritization list.
 - Joneisha to summarize feedback from agencies she spoke to on prioritization list.
 - Torrie and Kristina to work on categorization of prioritization list.
 - Group agreed that having a System Performance Measures presentation would be helpful.
-
- [Need: Co-Facilitators and Notetaker](#)

If you need meeting materials in another format, please contact Torrie Kopp Mueller at tkopmueller@cityofmadison.com.